13190 - AI-ASSISTED LUNG CANCER SCREENING: RESULTS FROM REALITY, A PIVOTAL VALIDATION STUDY OF AN AI/ML-BASED SOFTWARE

Authors: A. VACHANI^{1,} R. OSAROGIAGBON², C. GOTERA³, L. SEIJO⁴, G. BASTARRIKA⁴, E. OSTRIN⁵, J. DENNISON⁵, C. VOYTON⁶, P. BAUDOT⁶, E. GEREMIA⁶, P. SIOT⁶, V. LE⁶, B. HUET⁶, V. BOURDES⁶ [1] Penn Medicine, Philadelphia, PA, [2] Baptist Cancer Center, Medrid, Spain, [5] MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, [6] Median Technologies, Valbonne, France.

BACKGROUND

Lung cancer remains the number one cause of cancer mortality in the United States, often diagnosed at advanced stage with poor 5-year survival.

Recently, the development and clinical implementation of AI in patient management has been shown to equal or surpass pulmonary nodule detection and characterization performance of radiologists.

Here we present a pivotal standalone validation study of an AI/ML-based detects, localizes, software that segments, and characterizes pulmonary nodules between 4-30 mm present on low-dose CT screening exams.

METHODS

Retrospective cohort study of 1147 patients enriched for cancer prevalence (29.8% cancer) meeting the USPSTF criteria for LCS with LDCT screening exams.

To demonstrate software stability on technical and clinical subclasses (e.g. size, shape), the dataset was further enriched such that each subclass was sufficiently represented.

Reference standard was established via histopathology or ≥ 12 month stability. Sensitivity, specificity, and FP/scan values are presented at the maximum Youden Index (MYI).



Figure 1: Presentation of the global workflow of the CADe/CADx for lesion prediction, with CADe 3D convolutional neural network (CNN) detection and lung segmentation on the left, and with nodule segmentation and CADx on the right. At the end a results report is generated.



Figure 2: Patient level AUC was 0.904 [95% Cl, 0.881-0.926], with sensitivity and specificity of 80.1% and 86.6%.

FIGURES OF INTEREST

Detected Nodules



Figure 3: AU-LROC was 0.869 with a sensitivity of 78.4% and specificity 86.6% at the MYI

Patient Sub Class			Total		Cancer		Non-Cancer	
EU			336 (29.3%)		95 (27.8%)		241 (29.9%)	
USA			811 (70.7%)		247 (72.2%)		564 (70.1%)	
Male			594 (51.8%)		188 (55%)		406 (50.4%)	
Female			553 (48.2%)		154 (45%)		399 (49.6%)	
Largest nodule 4-10mm			750 (65.4%)		70 (20.5%)		680 (84.5%)	
Largest Nodule 10-20mm			314 (27.4%)		203 (59.4%)		111 (13.8%)	
Largest Nodule 20-30mm			83 (7.2%)		69 (20.2%)		14 (1.7%)	
With Solid Nodule(s) Only			738 (64.3%)		245 (71.6%)		493 (61.2%)	
With At least one Part-Solid Nodule(s)			215 (18.7%)		97 (28.4%)		118 (14.7%)	
Patient Without Nodule(s)			194 (16.9%)		0 (0%)		194 (24.1%)	
With Non-Spiculated Nodule(s) Only			628 (54.8%)		101 (29.5%)		527 (65.5%)	
With At least One Spiculated Nodule(s)			325 (28.3%)		241 (70.5%)		84 (10.4%)	
Class	Sub-Class	AL	JC	Se	ensitivity		Specificity	
Entire Cohort	N/A	0.904[0.88	81-0.926]	0.801[0.755-0.842]		0.8	0.866[0.840-0.889]	
Cancer Stage	Stage I	0.890[0.861-0.920]		0.804	[0.745-0.854]	0.83	36 [0.809-0.86	1]
	Stage II-IV	0.909[0.8	09[0.857-0.961]		0.786 [0.656-0.884] C)9 [0.887-0.92	8]
Cancer Size	4-10mm	0.834 [0.7	74-0.894]	0.914	[0.823-0.968]	0.59	94 [0.556-0.63	1]

Patient Sub Class			Total		Cancer	Non-Cancer	
EU		336 (29.3%)		95 (27.8%)	241 (29.9%)		
USA			811 (70.7%)		247 (72.2%) 564 (70.1%)	
Male			594 (51.8%)		188 (55%)	406 (50.4%)	
Female			553 (48.2%)		154 (45%)	399 (49.6%)	
Largest nodule 4-10mm			750 (65.4%)		70 (20.5%)	680 (84.5%)	
Largest Nodule 10-20mm			314 (27.4%)		203 (59.4%) 111 (13.8%)	
Largest Nodule 20-30mm			83 (7.2%)		69 (20.2%)	14 (1.7%)	
With Solid Nodule(s) Only			738 (64.3%)		245 (71.6%) 493 (61.2%)	
With At least one Part-Solid Nodule(s)			215 (18.7%)		97 (28.4%)	118 (14.7%)	
Patient Without Nodule(s)			194 (16.9%)		0 (0%)	194 (24.1%)	
With Non-Spiculated Nodule(s) Only			628 (54.8%)		101 (29.5%) 527 (65.5%)	
With At least One Spiculated Nodule(s)			325 (28	.3%)	241 (70.5%) 84 (10.4%)	
Class	Sub-Class	AUC		Sensitivity		Specificity	
Entire Cohort	N/A	0.904[0.881-0.926]		0.801[0.755-0.842]		0.866[0.840-0.889]	
Cancer Stage	Stage I	0.890[0.861-0.920]		0.804 [0.745-0.854]		0.836 [0.809-0.861]	
	Stage II-IV	0.909[0.857-0.961]		0.786 [0.656-0.884]		0.909 [0.887-0.928]	
Cancer Size	4-10mm	0.834 [0.7]	74-0.894]	0.914	[0.823-0.968]	0.594 [0.556-0.631]	

The AI/ML-based software demonstrates a high level of performance in a multicenter validation cohort enriched for cancer prevalence, cancer stage, and small non-spiculated cancer nodules, with high sensitivity across nodule size and cancer stage. This AI/ML-based software demonstrated its potential to optimize the detection, localization, characterization and management of small screendetected nodules leading to earlier diagnosis, more effective therapy, impacting survival of cancer patients.

Disclosures: A. VACHANI: consultancies and advisory Median Technologies.

RESULTS

Table 1: Study Participants. Of eligible 1.551 1,147 underwent standard generation and we included in the endpoint analysis.

 Table 2: Study Participants. AUC,
sensitivity and specificity for the entire cohort, per cancer stage and for patients with cancers between 4mm and 10mm.

CONCLUSIONS

Presenting: avachani@pennmedicine.upenn.edu Corresponding: charles.voyton@mediantechnologies.com